



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
 United States Patent and Trademark Office
 Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
 P.O. Box 1450
 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/724,268	11/28/2000	Robert N. Milman	10022.0001-00	7895
22852	7590	07/19/2006	EXAMINER	
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			VIG, NARESH	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3629	

DATE MAILED: 07/19/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/724,268	MILMAN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Naresh Vig	3629	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Naresh Vig. (3) Leila R. Abdi (52,399).
 (2) Richard Stroup (Reg. No. 28,478). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 13 July 2006.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: _____.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.



Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Applicant initiated a telephone interview to discuss the office action mailed on 05 May 2006. During the interview:

Applicant clarified that the date in the response filed 21 February 2006, the date of Exhibit A (1988 materials) is a typographical error, and, the date should recite as 1988 materials.

Office had scanned a document as Rule 131 or 132 Affidavits, and, applicant had not filed any affidavit in the response filed 21 April 2006.

Applicant will review Exhibit A and inform the office what pages of Exhibit A can be considered of pages existing on or prior to 25 January 1999.

During the telephone interview in view of the claimed invention examine requested applicants to show where in the specification can the examiner find the support for "monitoring affirmative actions of the agent while he is accessing the server". Applicant will inform the examiner for this request.



Naresh Vig
Examiner
AU 3629